



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,590	01/18/2005	Mitsunori Toyoda	122397	2777

25944 7590 03/22/2007
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HUNG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2851

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/22/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/521,590	Applicant(s) TOYODA, MITSUNORI	
	Examiner Hung Henry V. Nguyen	Art Unit 2851	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hung Henry V. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Mario A Costantino.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**HENRY HUNG NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On a telephone call of March 16, 2007, Attorney for applicants: Mr. Costantino informed the Examiner that in the office action sent 12/15/2007, the status of claim 14 is unclear. Upon review the mentioned office action, the Examiner recognized that claim 14 has been indicated as objected claim in the office action summary and in section 9 of the Office Action even though the limitations of claim 14 were discussed in section.6, page 5. The Examiner would like to confirm with the applicant that claim 14 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on references of Kato and Hiraiwa (as set forth in section 6). The Examiner regrets and appologizes for any inconveniences caused to the applicants due to this typographical error.